1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

COURTHOUSE NEWS SERVICE,

v.

AMANDA TOSTE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

12

13 14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 1:21-cv-01790-ADA-SAB

ORDER ENTERING NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AS TO CERTAIN **DEFENDANTS**

ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO FILE A NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OR STATUS ON OR BEFORE MARCH 17, 2023

(ECF No. 26)

This action was filed on December 17, 2021. (ECF No. 1.) The first amended complaint filed January 28, 2022, proceeds against six Defendants. (ECF Nos. 5, 19.) A scheduling conference is currently set for December 6, 2022. (ECF No. 25.) On October 18, 2022, a notice of settlement was filed indicating that the Plaintiff has reached settlement with five of the six Defendants: (1) Amanda Toste, in her official capacity as Court Executive Officer/Clerk of the Merced County Superior Court; (2) Shawn Landry, in his official capacity as Court Executive Officer/Clerk of the Yolo County Superior Court; (3) Stephanie Hansel, in her official capacity as Court Executive Officer/Clerk of the Sutter County Superior Court; (4) Heather Pugh, in her official capacity as Court Executive Officer/Clerk of the Yuba County Superior Court; and (5) Nocona Soboleski, in her official capacity as Court Executive Officer/Clerk of the Kings County Superior Court (collectively the "Settled Defendants"). (ECF No. 26.) The filing indicates that Plaintiff has not reached a settlement with one Defendant, Sharif Elmallah, in his official

Case 1:21-cv-01790-ADA-SAB Document 27 Filed 10/19/22 Page 2 of 2

capacity as Court Executive Officer/Clerk of the Butte County Superior Court. (<u>Id.</u>) Pursuant to the terms of the parties' agreement, the Settled Defendants are to implement an electronic system for the media to access court filings, with the system to be implemented at the latest by March 7, 2023, depending on the respective county.

Pursuant to Local Rule, the Court is required to fix a date for dispositional documents to be filed within twenty-one (21) days, absent good cause shown to extend such time. L.R. 160(b). Normally, once the terms of a settlement are reached, the Court does not keep a case open for an extended period of time for the parties to implement the terms of a settlement prior to dismissal. Nonetheless, given the various county Defendants, and given the case remains open as to one of the county executive Defendants, the Court shall approve the notice of dismissal and relieve the Settled Defendants of any requirements in this action, such as attending the December 6, 2022 scheduling conference. The obligation to attend the scheduling conference and any other requirements and deadlines applicable to the Plaintiff and Defendant Sharif Elmallah remain in effect.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The notice of settlement as to the Settled Defendants is entered and the Settled
 Defendants are relieved from pending dates and deadlines in this action; and
- 2. The Plaintiff and the Settled Defendants shall file dispositional documents or file a status report **on or before March 17, 2023**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **October 19, 2022**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE